SOME RESULTS ON λ - SPIRALLIKE GENERALIZED SAKAGUCHI TYPE FUNCTIONS

Shilpa. N. and LATHA. S

Communicated by Ayman Badawi

MSC 2010 Classifications: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Univalent functions, λ -Spirallike functions, Convolution, Subordination.

Abstract. The aim of the present paper is to introduce a new subclass $\mathcal{L}_s(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$ using Sakaguchi type functions and λ -Spirallike functions and to investigate Characterization and Subordination results for functions in this class. We discuss several consequences of our results.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disc $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{K} be the familiar class of functions that are convex in \mathcal{U} and let $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ denote the class of λ -spirallike functions of order α . A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ [4] if

$$\Re\left\{e^{i\lambda}\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha cos\lambda, \ (z \in \mathcal{U}, \, |\lambda| < \pi/2, \, 0 \le \alpha < 1)$$

Note that $S^{\lambda}(0) = S^{\lambda}$ is the class of λ -spirallike functions introduced by Spacek [10]. Further, a function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $C^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ if

$$\Re\left\{e^{i\lambda}\left(1+\frac{zf^{''}(z)}{f^{'}(z)}\right)\right\} > \alpha cos\lambda, \ (z \in \mathcal{U}, \, |\lambda| < \pi/2, \, 0 \le \alpha < 1)$$

Note that $C^{\lambda}(0) = C^{\lambda}$ is the class of functions for which zf'(z) is λ -spirallike in \mathcal{U} introduced by Roberstson [7] and the class $C^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ was introduced and studied by Chichra [1]. A function $f(z) \in C^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S^{\lambda}(\alpha)$.

Now we introduce a new subclass $\mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$ defined using Sakaguchi type functions and λ -Spirallike functions as follows.

Definition 1.1. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$ if it satisfies

$$\Re\left\{e^{i\lambda}\frac{(1-t)zf'(z)+\beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z)-f(tz)]+\beta z[f(z)-f(tz)]'}\right\} > \alpha cos\lambda,\tag{1.2}$$

for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \beta < 1$, $t \ne 1$, $|t| \le 1$, $|\lambda| < \pi/2$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

By giving specific values to λ, β, t we obtain the following subclasses studied by various researchers in earlier works

- For $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, we obtain the subclass $\mathcal{P}^{\lambda}(\alpha, t)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}(\alpha, t)$ introduced and studied by Goyal and Goswami [3].
- For $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$, we obtain the subclass $S(\alpha, t)$ and $T(\alpha, t)$ introduced and studied by Owa et. al. [5].

- For $\beta = 0$ and t = 0 we obtain the subclass $S_P^{\alpha}(\lambda)$ studied in [6].
- For $\lambda = 0$ we obtain the subclass $\mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, t)$ studied in [9].

In our present investigation we need the following definitions and also a related result due to Wilf [11].

Definition 1.2. (Convolution) Given two functions f and g in the class \mathcal{A} , where f is given by (1.1) and g is given by $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) f * g is

defined by the power series
$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Definition 1.3. (Subordination Principle) For two functions f and g analytic in \mathcal{U} , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in \mathcal{U} and write $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in \mathcal{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 1.4. (Subordinating factor sequence) A sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever f of the form (1.1) is analytic, univalent and convex in \mathcal{U} , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \prec f(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, a_1 = 1).$$

Lemma 1.5. [11] The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\Re\left\{1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^n\right\}>0,\quad (z\in\mathcal{U}).$$

2 Main results

In this section first we prove the Characterization results for the functions in the class $\mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$

Theorem 2.1. A function f(z) of the form (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$ if

$$\left| \frac{(1-t)zf'(z) + \beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \beta z[f(z) - f(tz)]'} - 1 \right| < 1 - \gamma$$
(2.1)

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1$, provided that

$$|\lambda| \le \cos^{-1}(\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\alpha}) \tag{2.2}$$

for some α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$\frac{(1-t)zf'(z)+\beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z)-f(tz)]+\beta z[f(z)-f(tz)]'}-1<(1-\gamma)\omega(z),$$

 $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Now

$$\Re\left\{e^{i\lambda}\frac{(1-t)zf'(z)+\beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z)-f(tz)]+\beta z[f(z)-f(tz)]'}\right\} = \cos\lambda + (1-\gamma)\Re\{e^{i\lambda}\omega(z)\}$$
$$\geq \cos\lambda - (1-\gamma)|e^{i\lambda}\omega(z)|$$
$$\geq \cos\lambda - (1-\gamma) \geq \alpha \cos\lambda$$

provided that $|\lambda| \le \cos^{-1}(\frac{1-\gamma}{1-\alpha})$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. If

$$\left|\frac{(1-t)zf'(z) + \beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \beta z[f(z) - f(tz)]'} - 1\right| < (1-\alpha)\cos\lambda$$
(2.3)

for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \beta < 1$, $t \ne 1$, $|t| \le 1$, $|\lambda| < \pi/2$, and $z \in U$. Then f(z) belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$

Proof. Set $\gamma = 1 - (1 - \alpha) cos \lambda$, in the above Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. If the function $f(z) \in A$, satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta] [|n - u_n| \sec\lambda + (1 - \alpha)|u_n|] |a_n| \le (1 - \alpha),$$
(2.4)

where $u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t^k$, $(t \neq 1, |t| \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, |\lambda| < \pi/2)$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}_s(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$.

Proof. By Theorem (2.2) it suffices to show that

$$\left|\frac{(1-t)zf'(z) + \beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \beta z[f(z) - f(tz)]'} - 1\right| < (1-\alpha)\cos\lambda$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{(1-t)zf'(z) + \beta(1-t)z^2f''(z)}{(1-\beta)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \beta z[f(z) - f(tz)]'} - 1 \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta](n-u_n)a_n z^n}{z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta]u_n a_n z^n} \right|$$

$$< \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta]|n-u_n||a_n||z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta]|n-u_n||a_n|}$$

$$< \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta]|n-u_n||a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta]|u_n||a_n|}.$$

The last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)cos\lambda$, if

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+(n-1)\beta] |n-u_n| |a_n|}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+(n-1)\beta] |u_n| |a_n|} < (1-\alpha) \cos\lambda$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\beta] [|n - u_n| \sec \lambda + (1 - \alpha)|u_n|] |a_n| < (1 - \alpha)$$

Remark: Suitable choices of λ, β, t yield the characterization results derived in [3], [5], [6] and [9].

3 Subordination results

In this section we prove the Subordination results for the functions in the class $\mathcal{L}_s(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in A$ satisfies the inequality (2.4) and suppose that $g \in K$. Then

$$\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z)$$
(3.1)

 $z \in \mathcal{U}, \ |t| \le 1, t \ne 1, 0 \le \beta < 1, 0 \le \alpha < 1, \ |\lambda| < \pi/2 \ and$

$$\Re\{f(z)\} > -\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$
(3.2)

The constant factor $\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}$ in the subordination result (3.1) cannot be replaced by any larger one.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the inequality (2.4) and suppose that $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}$. Then we have $\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)]} (f * g)(z)$ (1+ β)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] (f * g)(z)

$$=\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}(z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nc_nz^n)$$

By definition (1.4) the subordination result (3.1) holds true if the sequence

$$\left\{\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}a_n\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
(3.4)

(3.3)

is a subordinating factor sequence with $a_1 = 1$. In view of lemma (1.5) it is enough to prove the inequality:

$$\Re\left\{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}a_nz^n\right\}>0,\quad(z\in\mathcal{U}).$$
(3.5)

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)]} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)]} z \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)} \\ &\qquad \qquad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] a_n z^n \} . \end{aligned}$$

when |z| = r, (0 < r < 1),

$$\geq 1 - \frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)]}r \\ - \frac{1}{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)} \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+(n-1)\beta][|n-u_n|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|u_n|]|a_n|r^n \\ \geq 1 - \frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)]}r \\ - \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|] + (1-\alpha)}r \\ \geq 0$$

Then (3.5) holds in \mathcal{U} . This proves the inequality (3.1). The inequality (3.2) follows from (3.1), by taking convex function $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n$. To prove the sharpness of the constant $(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]$

 $\frac{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}$ we consider the function $f_0(z) \in \mathcal{L}_S(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, t)$ given by

$$f_0(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)sec\lambda}{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda + (1-\alpha)|1+t|]}z^2$$
(3.6)

From (3.1),

$$\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}f_0(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$
(3.7)

For the function f_0 , it is easy to verify that

$$\min\left\{\Re\left\{\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}f_0(z)\right\}\right\} = -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (|z| \le 1)$$

This shows that the constant $\frac{(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]}{2[(1+\beta)[|1-t|sec\lambda+(1-\alpha)|1+t|]+(1-\alpha)]}$ is the best possible, which completes the proof.

Remark: Suitable choices of λ, β, t yield the subordination results derived in [2], [3], [6] and [9].

References

- [1] P. N. Chichra, Regular functions f(z) for which zf'(z) is α spirallike, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (1975) 49, 151-160.
- [2] B. A. Frasin and Maslina Darus, Subordination results on subclasses concerning Sakaguchi functions, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Vol 2009, Article 574014, 7 pages,.
- [3] S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami, Characterization and Subordination properties for spirallike Sakaguchi type functions, *Bull. Pure Appl. Math.*, (2012), 6, 80-86.
- [4] R. J. Libera, Univalent α spirallike functions, Cand. J. Math., (1967), 19. 449-456.
- [5] S. Owa, T. Sekine, and R. Yamakawa, On Sakaguchi type functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computa*tion, (2007), Vol. 187, No.1, 356-361.
- [6] Oh Sang Kwon and S. Owa, The subordination theorem for λ spirallike functions of order α , KURENAI, (2002), 1276, 19-24.
- [7] M. S. Robertson, Univalent functions f(z) for which zf'(z) is α spirallike, *Michigan Math. J.*,(1969), 16, 97-101.
- [8] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, (1959), Vol. 11, 72-75.
- [9] N. Shilpa and S. Latha, Subordination results for Sakaguchi type functions, *Global Journal for Research analysis.*, Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016, 119-123.
- [10] L. Spacek, Contribution a la theorie des fontions univalents, Cas. Mat. Fys., (1932), 62(2), 12-19.
- [11] H.S. Wilf, Subordinattion factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (1961),12, 689-693.

Author information

Shilpa. N., PG Department of Mathematics, JSS College of Arts Commerce and Science, Ooty Road, Mysuru - 570025, India.

E-mail: drshilpamaths@gmail.com

LATHA. S, Department of Mathematics, Yuvaraja's College, University of Mysore, Mysuru-570005, India. E-mail: drlatha@gmail.com

Received: June 13, 2016.

Accepted: January 7, 2017.