

## Skew constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$

Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Ashker and Akram Qasem Mahmoud Abu-Jazar

Communicated by C. Flaut

MSC 2010 Classifications: 94B05, 94B15.

Keywords and phrases: Skew polynomial rings, Skew constacyclic codes, Skew cyclic codes

**Abstract.** In this paper, we study a special class of linear codes called skew constacyclic codes over finite non-chain rings of the form  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $v^2 = v$ . We use ideal  $\theta_v$ -constacyclic codes to define skew constacyclic codes, investigate the structural properties of skew polynomial ring  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]/(x^n - \lambda)$  and determine them.

### 1 Introduction

Skew polynomial ring was introduced by Ore [14]. The set of skew cyclic codes is a generalization of cyclic codes but constructed using a non-commutative ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x, \theta_v]$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field and  $\theta_v$  is a field automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Recently, these family of codes are first described by D. Boucher, W. Geiselmann and F. Ulmer in [1], and [2]. In [8], G. Zhang, B. Chen studied the structure and properties of constacyclic codes over finite non-chain rings of the form  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number with  $v^2 = v$ . In [13], Jian Gao studied skew cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$  and determined their properties. In this paper, we study skew constacyclic codes over finite non-chain rings of the form  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number with  $v^2 = v$ . We first define an automorphism over  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ . Also, we determine the units in  $\mathcal{R}$  and show that skew constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$  of arbitrary length are principally generated. Similar to [13], our results show that skew constacyclic code is equivalent to a constacyclic code over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Finally we study Euclidean dual codes of skew constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$  and we then give some examples to illustrated our main results.

### 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p = \{a + vb \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ , where  $p$  is a prime number with  $v^2 = v$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is a field with  $p$  elements. The ring  $\mathcal{R}$  has two maximal ideals which are  $I_1 = \langle v \rangle = \{va \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$  and  $I_2 = \langle 1 - v \rangle = \{(1 - v)b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ , observe that  $\mathcal{R}/\langle v \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{R}/\langle 1 - v \rangle$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . One can check that  $\langle v \rangle$  and  $\langle 1 - v \rangle$  are maximal ideals in  $\mathcal{R}$ , hence  $\mathcal{R}$  is not a chain ring. The next definition, gives the structure of the automorphism group  $Aut(\mathcal{R})$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ . By Chinese Remainder Theorem  $\mathcal{R} = \langle 1 - v \rangle \oplus \langle v \rangle$  and for any element  $a + vb$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\exists c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such that

$$a + bv = cv + d(1 - v)$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Define a ring automorphism as follows

$$\theta_v : \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$$

where

$$\theta_v(vc + (1 - v)d) = (1 - v)c + vd.$$

since

$$1 = v + (1 - v)$$

then

$$\theta_v(v + (1 - v)) = 1(1 - v) + v \text{ so } \theta_v(1) = 1.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_v((vc_1 + (1-v)d_1)(vc_2 + (1-v)d_2)) \\
&= \theta_v(v^2c_1c_2 + (1-v)^2d_2d_1) \\
&= (1-v)^2c_1c_2 + v^2d_1d_2 \\
&= ((1-v)c_1 + vd_1)((1-v)c_2 + vd_2) \\
&= \theta_v((vc_1 + (1-v)d_1))\theta_v((vc_2 + (1-v)d_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_v((vc_1 + (1-v)d_1) + (vc_2 + (1-v)d_2)) \\
&= \theta_v(v(c_1 + c_2) + (1-v)(d_1 + d_2)) \\
&= (1-v)(c_1 + c_2) + v(d_1 + d_2) \\
&= ((1-v)c_1 + vd_1) + ((1-v)c_2 + vd_2) \\
&= \theta_v(vc_1 + (1-v)d_1) + \theta_v(vc_2 + (1-v)d_2),
\end{aligned}$$

then  $\theta_v$  is ring homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_v(vc_1 + (1-v)d_1) = \theta_v(vc_2 + (1-v)d_2) \\
&\implies ((1-v)c_1 + vd_1) = ((1-v)c_2 + vd_2) \\
&\implies c_1 - vc_1 + vd_1 = c_2 - vc_2 + vd_2 \\
&\implies c_1 = c_2 \text{ and } d_1 = d_2
\end{aligned}$$

then  $\theta_v$  is one-to-one. To see  $\theta_v$  is onto let

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_v(vc_1 + (1-v)d_1) = vc_2 + (1-v)d_2 \\
&\implies (1-v)c_1 + vd_1 = vc_2 + (1-v)d_2 \\
&\implies (1-v)(c_1 - d_2) + v(d_1 - c_2) = 0 \\
&\implies c_1 - d_2 = 0 \implies c_1 = d_2 \\
&\implies d_1 - c_2 = 0 \implies d_1 = c_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } (vc_1 + (1-v)d_1) = \theta_v(vc_2 + (1-v)d_2)$$

then  $\theta_v$  is onto, hence  $\theta_v$  is ring automorphism and  $\theta_v^2(e) = e$ , for all  $e$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , this implies that  $\theta_v$  is ring automorphism with order 2.

For a given automorphism  $\theta_v$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ , the set  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v] = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$  where  $a_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  of formal polynomials forms a ring under usual addition of polynomial and where multiplication is defined using the rule  $(ax^i)(bx^j) = a\theta_v^i(b)x^{i+j}$  [11]. The ring  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$  is called skew polynomial ring over  $\mathcal{R}$ . It is non-commutative unless  $\theta_v$  is the identity automorphism on  $\mathcal{R}[x]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [8] Let  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$  be an element in  $\mathcal{R}$ , where  $\zeta$  and  $\mu$  are elements in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$  is a unit of  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\zeta \neq 0$  and  $\zeta + \mu \neq 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Suppose that  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$  is a unit of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exists elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\lambda' = a + vb \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\lambda'\lambda = 1$ , that is,  $(\zeta + v\mu)(a + vb) = \zeta a + v(\zeta b + \mu a + \mu b) = 1$ . So we have that  $\zeta a = 1$  and  $(\zeta + \mu)b + \mu a = 0$ , which implies that  $\zeta \neq 0$  and  $\zeta + \mu \neq 0$ .

$\longleftarrow$  Let  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\zeta \neq 0$  and  $\zeta + \mu \neq 0$ . Setting  $\lambda' = \zeta^{-1} + v[-1(\zeta + \mu)^{-1}\mu\zeta^{-1}]$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda' \lambda &= (\zeta + v\mu)[\zeta^{-1} + v(-1(\zeta + \mu)^{-1}\mu\zeta^{-1})] \\
&= 1 + v[\mu\zeta^{-1} - \mu(\zeta + \mu)^{-1} - \mu(\zeta + \mu)^{-1} \cdot \mu\zeta^{-1}] \\
&= 1 + v[\mu\zeta^{-1} - \mu(\zeta + \mu)^{-1}(1 + \mu\zeta^{-1})] \\
&= 1 + v[\mu\zeta^{-1} - \mu(\zeta + \mu)^{-1}(\zeta\zeta^{-1} + \mu\zeta^{-1})] \\
&= 1 + v[\mu\zeta^{-1} - \mu(\zeta + \mu)^{-1}(\zeta + \mu)\zeta^{-1}] \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Skew Constacyclic Codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$

In this section we begin definition of  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes and  $(\theta_v - \lambda)$ -constacyclic codes (skew constacyclic codes), then we will write all results of  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes and  $(\theta_v - \lambda)$ -constacyclic codes.

**Definition 3.1.** [8] Let  $\lambda$  be a unit in  $\mathcal{R}$ . A linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  is called  $\lambda$ -constacyclic if for every  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $(\lambda c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$

It is well known that a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be identified with an ideal in the quotient ring  $\mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$  via the  $\mathcal{R}$ -module isomorphism as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^n &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle \\
(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) &\longrightarrow c_0, c_1x, \dots, c_{n-1}x^{n-1} \pmod{\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes are just cyclic codes and while  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes are known as negacyclic codes.

**Definition 3.2.** Given an automorphism  $\theta_v$  of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , and a unit  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ , a code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be skew constacyclic, or specifically,  $(\theta_v - \lambda)$ -constacyclic if  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under the  $(\theta_v - \lambda)$ -constacyclic shift vector  $\rho_{\theta_v, \lambda} : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$  defined by

$$\rho_{\theta_v, \lambda}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (\theta_v((\zeta + v\mu)c_{n-1}), \theta_v(c_0), \dots, \theta_v(c_{n-2})).$$

Analogous to the classical constacyclic codes, we characterize  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic codes in terms of left ideals in  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]/\langle x^n - (\zeta + v\mu) \rangle$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic if and only if the skew polynomial representation of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]/\langle x^n - (\zeta + v\mu) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is linear code,  $\mathcal{C}$  is an additive group. Let  $a(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $xa(x) = \theta_v((\zeta + v\mu)a_{n-1}) + \theta_v(a_0)x + \dots + \theta_v(a_{n-2})x^{n-1} \in \mathcal{C}$ . And by iteration and linearity one can get  $h(x)a(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ , for all  $h(x) \in \mathcal{R}_n$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{R}_n$ . □

#### 3.1 Skew constacyclic codes generated by monic right divisors of $x^n - (\zeta + v\mu)$

The  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic codes which are principal left ideals in  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$  generated by monic right divisors of  $x^n - \lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , define

$$\mathcal{C}_v = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid (1 - v)a + vb \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ for some } b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{1-v} = \{b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid (1 - v)a + vb \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n\}, \quad (3.2)$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{C}_v$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  are linear codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{C}_v$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{C}$  can be uniquely expressed as  $\mathcal{C} = (1 - v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v$  [19].

In the following we give some properties about skew constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Definition 3.3.** The center  $Z[\mathcal{R}(x, \theta_v)]$  of  $\mathcal{R}(x, \theta_v)$  is the set of all elements that commute with all other elements of  $\mathcal{R}(x, \theta_v)$ . We call an element  $z \in Z[\mathcal{R}(x, \theta_v)]$  central if  $z$  commutes with all elements of  $\mathcal{R}(x, \theta_v)$ .

### Case 1: $n$ is even

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$  be a unit in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $x^n - \lambda$  is central in  $Z(\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v])$  if and only if  $n$  is even.

*Proof.* Suppose  $n$  is even, i.e.,  $2|n$ . Let  $f(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$  and  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$ . Since  $n$  is even,  $\theta_v^n(a) = a$  for any element  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ . Hence,  $(x^n - \lambda)f(x) = (x^n - \lambda)a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m = x^n a_0 + x^n a_1x + \dots + x^n a_mx^m - \lambda f(x) = \theta_v^n(a_0)x^n + \theta_v^n(a_1)x^n x + \dots + \theta_v^n(a_m)x^n x^m - \lambda f(x) = (a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m)x^n - \lambda f(x) = f(x)x^n - \lambda f(x) = f(x)(x^n - \lambda)$ . Hence  $(x^n - \lambda) \in Z(\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v])$ . Conversely, let  $x^n - \lambda$  be in  $Z(\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v])$ . Then  $x^n - \lambda$  commutes with every element in  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$ . Particularly,  $(x^n - \lambda)a_mx^m = a_mx^m(x^n - \lambda)$  for some  $a_m \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $(x^n - \lambda)a_mx^m = \theta_v^n(a_m)x^{n+m} - \lambda a_mx^m$  and  $a_mx^m(x^n - \lambda) = a_mx^{n+m} - \lambda a_mx^m$ ,  $\theta_v^n(a_m) = a_m$ . Thus  $n$  is even.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $n$  be even and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\theta_v - \lambda$ -constacyclic code with length  $n$ , and  $f(x)$  be a monic polynomial in  $\mathcal{C}$  with minimal degree, then  $\mathcal{C} = \langle f(x) \rangle$ , where  $f(x)$  is a right divisor of  $x^n - \lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial of minimal degree in  $\mathcal{C}$ . There are two unique polynomials  $q$  and  $r$  such that

$$x^n - \lambda = qf + r$$

where  $\deg(r) < \deg(f)$ . Since  $r = (x^n - \lambda) - qf$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is linear,  $r \in \mathcal{C}$ . But  $f(x)$  is with the minimal degree. Thus  $r = 0$  and hence  $f(x)$  is the right divisor of  $x^n - \lambda$ .  $\square$

### Case 2: $n$ is odd

Let  $n$  be odd. Then  $|\langle \theta \rangle| \nmid n$ . This implies that  $x^n - \lambda$  is non-commutative. Therefore the set  $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]/(x^n - \lambda)$  is not a ring anymore. Define the addition on  $\mathcal{R}_n$  as usual and multiplication from left as  $r(x)(g(x) + (x^n - \lambda)) = r(x)g(x) + (x^n - \lambda)$  for any  $r(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$ . We can prove that  $\mathcal{R}_n$  is a left  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$ -module where multiplication is defined as above.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $n$  be odd. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a skew constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a left  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{R}_n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$  be any codeword in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a skew constacyclic code,  $x^i c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is linear, it follows that  $r(x)c(x) \in \mathcal{C}$  for any  $r(x) \in \mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\mathcal{R}[x, \theta_v]$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{R}_n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $n$  be odd and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a skew constacyclic code with length  $n$ , and  $f(x)$  be a polynomial in  $\mathcal{C}$  with minimal degree, then  $\mathcal{C} = \langle f(x) \rangle$ , where  $f(x)$  is a right divisor of  $x^n - \lambda$ .

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem (3.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $n$  be odd and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a skew  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is equivalent to a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $n$  is odd, it follows that  $\gcd(2, n) = 1$ . Therefore there exist integers  $a, b$  such that  $2a + bn = 1$ . Thus  $2a = 1 - bn = 1 + ln$ , where  $l > 0$ . Let  $c = c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$  be a codeword in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $x^{2a}c(x) = \theta^{2a}(\lambda c_0)x^{1+ln} + \theta^{2a}(c_1)x^{2+ln} + \dots + \theta^{2a}(c_{n-1})x^{n+ln} = \lambda^{1+ln}c_{n-1} + c_0x + \dots + c_{n-2}x^{n-1} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = (1 - v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v$  be a linear code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\theta_v - \lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_v$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  are  $\theta_v - (\zeta + \mu)$ -constacyclic and  $\theta_v - \zeta$ -constacyclic codes of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , respectively.

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Let  $(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1})$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$ , and let  $(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathcal{C}_v$ . We assume that  $c_i = vm_i + (1-v)r_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ; hence we get that  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\theta_v$ - $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\theta_v((\lambda c_{n-1}), (c_0), \dots, (c_{n-2})) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_v(\lambda c_{n-1}) &= \theta_v((\zeta + v\mu)[vm_{n-1} + (1-v)r_{n-1}]) \\ &= \theta_v(v[(\zeta + \mu)m_{n-1}] + (1-v)[\zeta r_{n-1}])\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta_v(\lambda c_{n-1}), \theta_v(c_0), \dots, \theta_v(c_{n-2})) &= \theta_v(v[(\zeta + \mu)m_{n-1}, m_0, \dots, m_{n-2}]) \\ &\quad + (1-v)[(\zeta r_{n-1}, r_0, \dots, r_{n-2})] \in \mathcal{C},\end{aligned}$$

hence  $\theta_v((\zeta + \mu)m_{n-1}, m_0, \dots, m_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $\theta_v((\zeta r_{n-1}, r_0, \dots, r_{n-2})) \in \mathcal{C}_v$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{C}_v$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  are  $\theta_v$ - $(\zeta + \mu)$ -constacyclic and  $\theta_v$ - $\zeta$ -constacyclic codes of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , respectively.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}_v$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  are  $\theta_v$ - $(\zeta + \mu)$ -constacyclic and  $\theta_v$ - $\zeta$ -constacyclic codes of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , respectively. Let  $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $c_i = vm_i + (1-v)r_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . It follows that  $(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_v$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_v((\lambda c_{n-1}), (c_0), \dots, (c_{n-2})) &= \theta_v(v[(\zeta + \mu)m_{n-1}, m_0, \dots, m_{n-2}]) \\ &\quad + (1-v)(\zeta + \mu)r_{n-1}, r_0, \dots, r_{n-2}) \in (1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v = \mathcal{C},\end{aligned}$$

hence  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\theta_v$ - $\lambda$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is classical  $\lambda$ -constacyclic codes to determine the generators for codes.

**Theorem 3.7.** [8] Let  $\mathcal{C} = v\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus (1-v)\mathcal{C}_v$  be a  $(\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} = \langle vg_{1-v}, (1-v)g_v \rangle$ , where  $g_{1-v}$  and  $g_v$  are the generator polynomials of  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ , respectively.

**Proposition 3.2.** [8] Let  $\mathcal{C} = v\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus (1-v)\mathcal{C}_v$  be a  $(\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $g_{1-v}(x), g_v(x)$  are the generator polynomials of  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$  respectively. Then  $|\mathcal{C}| = p^{2-\deg(g_{1-v}(x))-\deg(g_v(x))}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a non-zero left ideal in  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$  and let  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  denote the set of all non-zero skew polynomials of minimal degree in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = v\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus (1-v)\mathcal{C}_v$  be a  $(\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \langle vf_1(x), (1-v)f_2(x) \rangle$ , where  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p$  are monic skew polynomials with  $f_1(x) \mid (x^n - (\zeta + \mu))$  and  $f_2(x) \mid (x^n - \zeta)$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v} = [f_1(x)]$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v = [f_2(x)]$ , that is,  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  are the generator polynomials of constacyclic codes  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ , respectively.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3[x], n = 10$ , and

$$(x^{10} - 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 - x + 1)(x^4 - x^3 + x + 1).$$

Then the constacyclic code of length 10 over  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  with generating polynomial  $f_1(x) = (x^4 - x^3 + x + 1)$  and  $f_2(x) = (x^4 + x^3 - x + 1)$ , is

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle v(x^4 - x^3 + x + 1), (1-v)(x^4 + x^3 - x + 1) \rangle.$$

If  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3[x, \theta_v]$  and  $n = 10$ . Then the skew constacyclic code of length 10 over  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3[x, \theta_v]$  with generating polynomial  $f_1(x) = (x^4 - x^3 + x + 1)$  and  $f_2(x) = (x^4 + x^3 - x - 1)$ , is

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (1-v)(x^4 - x^3 + x + 1), v(x^4 + x^3 - x + 1) \rangle.$$

#### 4 Euclidean Dual Codes of Skew Constacyclic Codes over $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$

We study Euclidean dual codes of  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Their characterization is given in the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then the dual code  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  for  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)^{-1}$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* For each unit  $\lambda = \zeta + v\mu$  in  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $\lambda^{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $v = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ .

Since  $(\theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda u_1), \theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda u_2), \dots, \theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda u_{n-1}), \theta_v^{n-1}(u_0)) = \rho_{\theta_v, \lambda}^{n-1}(u) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \rho_{\theta_v, \lambda}^{n-1}(u), v \rangle \\ &= \langle (\theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda u_1), \theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda u_2), \dots, \theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda u_{n-1}), \theta_v^{n-1}(u_0)), (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle (\theta_v^{n-1}(u_1), \theta_v^{n-1}(u_2), \dots, \theta_v^{n-1}(u_{n-1}), \theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda^{-1}u_0)), (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \rangle \\ &= \lambda (\theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda^{-1}u_0)v_{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_v^{n-1}(u_i)v_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $n$  is a multiple of the order of  $\theta_v$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$  is fixed by  $\theta_v$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \theta_v(0) \\ &= \theta_v(\lambda(\theta_v^{n-1}(\lambda^{-1}u_0)v_{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_v^{n-1}(u_i)v_{i-1})) \\ &= \lambda(u_0\theta_v^n(\lambda^{-1})v_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\theta_v^n v_{i-1} \\ &= \lambda \langle \rho_{\theta_v, \lambda^{-1}}(v), u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\rho_{\theta_v, \lambda^{-1}}(v) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ . □

Let  $g_{1-v}(x)h_{1-v}(x) = x^n - \zeta$ ,  $g_v(x)h_v(x) = x^n - (\zeta + \mu)$ . Let  $\tilde{h}_{1-v}(x) = x^{\deg(h_{1-v}(x))}h_{1-v}(\frac{1}{x})$  and  $\tilde{h}_v(x) = x^{\deg(h_v(x))}h_v(\frac{1}{x})$  be the reciprocal polynomials of  $h_{1-v}$  and  $h_v$ , respectively. We write  $h_{1-v}^*(x) = \frac{1}{h_{1-v}(0)}\tilde{h}_{1-v}(x)$  and  $h_v^*(x) = \frac{1}{h_v(0)}\tilde{h}_v(x)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C} = (1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v$  be a  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)$ -constacyclic code of length  $n$  over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = (1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v^\perp$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem (3.6)  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$  in (3.1) and (3.2) are  $\theta_v$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v^\perp$  are also  $\theta_v$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $g_{1-v}(x)$  and  $g_v(x)$  are generator polynomials for  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ , respectively. Then  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp = [h_{1-v}^*(x)]$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v^\perp = [h_v^*(x)]$ . Thus we have that  $|\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp| = p^{\deg(g_{1-v}(x))}$  and  $|\mathcal{C}_v^\perp| = p^{\deg(g_v(x))}$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{C}_v^\perp$  and  $c = (1-v)r + vq \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $r \in \mathcal{C}_{1-v}$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{C}_v$ , we have  $\theta_v(c \cdot ((1-v)a + vb)) = \theta_v(((1-v)r + vq) \cdot ((1-v)a + vb)) = \theta_v((1-v)(r \cdot a) + (v)(q \cdot b)) = 0$ , and hence  $(1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$ . Similarly we get  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq (1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v^\perp$ . □

According to the above results and their proofs, we can carry out the results regarding skew constacyclic codes corresponding to their dual codes.

**Theorem 4.2.** Then the Euclidean dual code of a left ideal in  $(\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p)[x, \theta_v] / \langle x^n - (\zeta + v\mu) \rangle$  is also a left ideal in  $(\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p)[x, \theta_v] / \langle x^n - (\zeta + v\mu) \rangle$  determined as follows, if  $\mathcal{C} = (1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v} \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v$ , then  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (1-v)h_{1-v}^*(x), vh_v^*(x) \rangle$ , and  $|\mathcal{C}^\perp| = p^{\deg(g_{1-v}(x)) + \deg(g_v(x))}$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is a  $\theta_v - (\zeta + v\mu)^{-1}$ -constacyclic code over  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = (1-v)\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp \oplus v\mathcal{C}_v^\perp$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v^\perp$  are two  $\theta_v$ -constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Since  $h_{1-v}^*$  and  $h_v^*$  are generator polynomials for  $\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v^\perp$ , respectively, we have that  $\{(1-v)h_{1-v}^*(x), vh_v^*(x)\}$  is the generating set in  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  so  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (1-v)h_{1-v}^*(x), vh_v^*(x) \rangle$ . In addition,  $|\mathcal{C}^\perp| = |\mathcal{C}_{1-v}^\perp| |\mathcal{C}_v^\perp| = p^{\deg(g_{1-v}(x))} p^{\deg(g_v(x))} = p^{\deg(g_{1-v}(x)) + \deg(g_v(x))}$ . □

**Example 4.1.** From previous example 3.1 Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3$ ,  $n = 10$ , and

$$(x^{10} - 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 - x + 1)(x^4 - x^3 + x + 1).$$

Let

$$h_0 = x + 1, h_1 = x + 1, h_2 = x^4 + x^3 - x + 1, h_3 = x^4 - x^3 + x + 1.$$

Then we have

$$h_0^* = x + 1 = h_0, h_1^* = x - 1 = h_1, h_2^* = x^4 - x^3 + x + 1 = h_3, h_3^* = x^4 + x^3 - x + 1 = h_2.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle (1 - v)(x^4 - x^3 + x + 1), v(x^4 + x^3 - x + 1) \rangle,$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (1 - v)(x^4 + x^3 - x + 1), v(x^4 - x^3 + x + 1) \rangle.$$

## 5 Conclusion

In this thesis we have defined skew polynomial rings, also studied skew constacyclic codes over finite non-chain rings of the form  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime number with  $v^2 = v$  and study Euclidean dual codes of skew constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ .

For future research one can extended this study to rings such as  $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + uv\mathbb{F}_q$  or  $\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + v^2\mathbb{F}_q$  where  $q$  is a power of prime number  $p$ .

## References

- [1] D. Boucher, W. Geiselmann and F. Ulmer, Skew-cyclic codes, *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, 18 (2007), 379-389
- [2] D. Boucher, P. Solt'e and F. Ulmer, Skew constacyclic codes over Galois rings, *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, 2 (2008), 273-292.
- [3] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, Codes as modules over skew polynomial rings, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 5921 (2009), 38-55.
- [4] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, Coding with skew polynomial rings, *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 44 (2009), 1644-1656
- [5] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, Codes as modules over skew polynomial rings. In: *Cryptography and coding*. Springer, Berlin, (2009a), pp. 38-55.
- [6] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, A note on the dual codes of module skew codes. Vol. 7089 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.* (2011), pp. 230-243.
- [7] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, Self-dual skew codes and factorization of skew polynomails, *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, (2013), pp. 47-61
- [8] G. Zhang, B. Chen, Constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , cornell university, *Computer Science*, arXiv:1301.0669, (2013).
- [9] H. Q. Dinh, Constacyclic codes of length  $2^s$  over Galois extension rings of  $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ , *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 55 (2009), pp. 730-1740.
- [10] H. Q. Dinh, Constacyclic codes of length  $p^s$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , *J. Algebra* 324(2010), pp. 940-950.
- [11] I.Siap, T.Abualrub, N.Aydin, and P.Seneviratne, Skew Cyclic Codes Of Arbitrary Length, *International Journal of Information and Coding Theory (IJICOT)*, Vol. 2, No. 1, (2011)
- [12] Jia, Y., Ling, S., Xing, C., On self-dual cyclic codes over finite fields. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 57 (4), (2011), pp. 2243-2251.
- [13] J.GAO, Skew cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$ , *J.Appl. Math & information*, Vol.31 (2013), No. 3 - 4, pp. 337 -342.
- [14] O. Ore, Theory of non-commutative polynomials, *Annals of Math.* 34 (1933), pp. 480-508
- [15] S. Jitman, S. Ling, P. Udomkavanich, Skew constacyclic codes over finite chain ring. *Advance in Mathematic of comm.* (2012), pp. 39-63.

- 
- [16] S. Zhu, L. Wang, A class of constacyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p + v\mathbb{F}_p$  and its Gray image, Discrete Math.311(2011), 2677-2682.
- [17] W. Cary Huffman, Vera Pless, Fundemantal Of Error Correcting Codes, printed in the United Kingdom Cambridge University Pres (2003).

**Author information**

Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Ashker, Department of Mathematics, The Islamic University of Gaza, Palestine.  
E-mail: mashker@iugaza.edu.ps

Akram Qasem Mahmoud Abu-Jazar, Department of Mathematics  
The Islamic University of Gaza, Palestine.  
E-mail: akrammath2010@hotmail.com

Received: March 18, 2015.

Accepted: August 7, 2015.