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#### Abstract

In this paper, the restricted detour radial graph was introduced. We obtained some results connecting restricted detour radial graph and graph of certain particular graphs. Also, the restricted detour radial graph of the sum of two graphs and one-vertex union of graphs are determined.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$, be a finite undirected simple connected graph. For basic graph terminology and details, we refer to [[5], 7]. Distance is one of the basic concepts of graph theory. For two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a graph $G$, the distance (ordinary distance) from $u$ to $v$ is denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$ or simply $d(u, v)$ and defined as the length of a shortest $u-v$ path in graph $G$. Detour distance $D(u, v)$ between two distinct vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ is the maximum lengths of $u-v$ paths in $G$ [3, 4]. In 2012, Ali and MohammedSaleh[1] defined the restricted detour distance $D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)$ between two vertices $u, v$ in a graph $G$ as the length of the longest $u-v$ path $P$ such that $<V(P)>=P$. A chord of a path $P$ is an edge of $G$ joining two non-adjacent vertices of $P$. Thus, $D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)$ is the length of the longest $u-v$ path $P$ having no chords. Such a $u-v$ path is called $\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}$ restricted detour. In [13], the authors called $D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)$ detour monophonic distance between vertices $u$ and $v$. It is clear that $D_{G}^{*}(u, v)=0$ if and only if $u=v$, and $D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)=1$ if and only if $u v$ is an edge in $G$. A graph $G$ is called restricted detour if $D^{*} G(u, v)=d(u, v)$ for every pair $u$ and $v$ of vertices in $G$. It is clear that all trees, complete graphs, and complete bipartite graphs are restricted detour graphs. Some researchers studied the radial graph and detour radial graphs such as $[2,6,8,9,10,11,12,14]$. In [9], Kathiresan and Marimuthu introduced the concept of radial graph $R(G)$ using $d(u, v)$ and proved characterization for $R(G)$. In [2], Avadayappan and Ganeshwari introduced a detour radial graph using $D(u, v)$, length of the longest $u-v$ path of $G$. The restricted detour radial graph $R_{D^{*}}(G)$ has vertex set as in $G$ and vertices $u$ and $v$ in $R_{D^{*}}(G)$ are adjacent in $R_{D^{*}}(G)$ if and only if the restricted detour distance $D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)$ is equal to the restricted detour radius $r_{D^{*}}(G)$ of $G$. In this paper, we derive formulas for restricted detour radial graphs of some particular graphs.

## 2 Some Basic Results

Theorem 2.1 $R_{D^{*}}(G)=G$ if and only if $\triangle(G)=p-1$, where $p \geq 2$.
Proof. First, assume that $\triangle(G)=p-1$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ of degree $p-1$. Then $D_{G}^{*}(u, v)=1$ for every vertex $u(\neq v)$ of $G$. Thus $e_{D^{*}}(v)=1$ and so $r_{D^{*}}(G)=1$. For any pair of adjacent vertex $(x, y), \quad x \neq y$, of $G, D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)=1=r_{D^{*}}(G)$. Thus, $x y \in$ $E\left(R_{D^{*}}(G)\right)$. If $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ are nonadjacent in $G$ then $D^{*}{ }_{G}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$ and so $D_{G}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)>r_{D^{*}}(G)$ which implies that $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} \notin E\left(R_{D^{*}}(G)\right)$. Therefore $E\left(R_{D^{*}}(G)\right)=E(G)$ and so $R_{D^{*}}(G)=G$.

For the proof of converse, let $R_{D^{*}}(G)=G$, we shall prove that $\triangle(G)=p-1$. Let $u v \in$ $E(G)$, then $u v \in E\left(R_{D^{*}}(G)\right)$. Thus, $1=D^{*}{ }_{G}(u, v)=r_{D^{*}}(G)$. Therefore, $G$ contains a vertex, say $w$ such that $e_{D^{*}}(w)=r_{D^{*}}(G)=1$. Thus, $w$ is adjacent to every other vertex of $G$, that is $\operatorname{deg}_{G} w=p-1$. Hence the proof is completed.
Definition 2.2 A connected graph $G$ is called self-restricted detour radial graph if and only if $R_{D^{*}}(G)=G$.

Corollary 2.3 Every complete graph $K_{p}$, every wheel graph $W_{p}, p \geq 5$, every star graph $K_{1, p-1}$ and every fan-graph $F_{n}, n \geq 2$ is self-restricted detour radial graphs.
Remarks 2.4 We deduce from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that:
(i) If $r_{D^{*}}(G) \geq 2$ then $G$ is not self-restricted detour radial graph, that is $R_{D^{*}}(G) \neq G$.
(ii) If $r_{D^{*}}(G) \geq 2$ then $E(G) \cap E\left(R_{D^{*}}(G)\right)=\emptyset$, that is $R_{D^{*}}(G) \subseteq \bar{G}$.

We may have $R_{D^{*}}(G)$ which is isomorphic to $G$, as for cycle graphs of odd orders.
Proposition 2.5 Let $C_{2 n+1}$ be a cycle graph of order $p=2 n+1, n \geq 2$. Then $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right) \cong$ $C_{2 n+1}$.
Proof. Let $C_{2 n+1}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 n+1}, u_{1}\right)$, the $D^{*}\left(u_{i}, u_{i+2}\right)=2 n-1$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+$ 1 , with $u_{2 n+2} \equiv u_{1}$ and $u_{2 n+3} \equiv u_{2}$. Therefore $e_{D^{*}}(u)=2 n-1$ for every $u \in V\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)$, and so $r_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)=2 n-1$. Hence, in $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)$, the pair of vertices $u_{i}, u_{i+2}$, are adjacent, for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1$. For any other pairs of vertices in $C_{2 n+1}$, that is $u_{i}, u_{j}$ for $|i-j|>2$, $D^{*}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)<2 n-1$ and so $u_{i} u_{j}^{\prime} \notin E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)\right)$. Thus $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)$ is the cycle graph $\left(u_{1}, u_{3}, u_{5} \ldots, u_{2 n+1}, u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{6}, \ldots, u_{2 n}, u_{1}\right)=C_{2 n+1}^{\prime}$. It is clear that $C_{2 n+1}^{\prime} \cong C_{2 n+1}$.

The following examples illustrate Proposition 2.5.

## Example 2.6.



Figure 2.1
Now, we consider cycle graphs of even orders. Proposition 2.7 Let $C_{2 n}$ be a cycle graph of order $2 n, \quad n \geq 3$, then $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n}\right)=C_{n} \cup C_{n}^{\prime}$, in which $V\left(C_{n}\right) \cap V\left(C_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$.
Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.5, $r_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n}\right)=2 n-2$, and $D^{*}\left(u_{i}, u_{i+2}\right)=2 n-2, \quad i=$ $1,2, \ldots, 2 n$ with $u_{2 n+1}=u_{1}$ and $u_{2 n+2}=u_{2}$.
It is clear that $D^{*}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)<2 n-2$, for $|j-i|>2$; thus $u_{i} u_{j} \notin E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n}\right)\right)$. Hence $E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n}\right)\right)=\left\{u_{i} u_{i+2} ; ; i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n\right\}$.
Therefore $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n}\right)=\left(u_{1}, u_{3}, u_{5}, \ldots, u_{2 n-1}, u_{1}\right) \cup\left(u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{6}, \ldots, u_{2 n}, u_{2}\right)=C_{n} \cup C_{n}^{\prime}$.
Example 2.8 Consider $C_{8}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{8}, u_{1}\right)$. Then


Figure 2.2

Proposition 2.9 Let $K_{m, n}$ be a complete bipartite graph with $m, n \geq 2$, then $R_{D^{*}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=$ $K_{m} \cup K_{n}=\overline{K_{m, n}}, V\left(K_{m}\right) \cap V\left(K_{n}\right)=\emptyset$.
Proof. Let $V\left(K_{m, n}\right)=V \cup U, V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}, U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}, D^{*}(x, y)=2$ for $x, y \in V$ and $x, y \in U$.
Thus $e_{D^{*}}(v)=e_{D^{*}}(u)=2$, for $v \in V$ and $u \in U$. Therefore $r_{D^{*}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=2$. It is clear that $D^{*}(u, v)=1$ and so $u v \notin E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)\right)$. Hence, in $R_{D^{*}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)$ every two vertices of $V$, and also every two vertices of $U$ are adjacent. Therefore, $R_{D^{*}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)$ consists of two vertex disjoint complete graphs $K_{m}$ and $K_{n} . R_{D^{*}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=\overline{K_{m, n}}$. Hence, the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.10 For a complete $t$-partite graph $K_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}}, t \geq 2$, we have $R_{D^{*}}\left(K_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}}\right)=$ $\overline{K_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}}}=K_{n_{1}} \cup K_{n_{2}} \cup \ldots \cup K_{n_{t}}$.
Proposition 2.11 If $R_{D^{*}}(G)=K_{p}$, where $G$ is a connected graph of order $p \geq 2$, then $G=K_{p}$. Proof. Let $u, v$ be any two distinct vertices of $G$, then $u, v$ are in $K_{p}$, and $u v \in E\left(K_{p}\right)$. But, by definition of restricted detour radial graph, $D_{G}^{*}(u, v)=r_{D^{*}}(G)=1$ if $u v \in E(G)$. Since $G$ consists of at least one edge, say $x y$, then $D_{G}^{*}(x, y)=1$ and so $r_{D^{*}}(G)=1$. Therefore, every two vertices, $u, v$ of $G$ are adjacent, implies that $G=K_{p}$.
Corollary $2.12 R_{D^{*}}(G)=K_{p}$ if and only if $G=K_{p}$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.11.
Proposition 2.13 Let $P_{p}$ be a path-graph of order $p \geq 4$, then

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{p}\right)= \begin{cases}P_{3} \cup(n-1) K_{2}, & \text { if } p=2 n+1, \quad n \geq 2 \\ n K_{2}, & \text { if } p=2 n, \quad n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

## Proof.

(1) $P_{2 n+1}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}, u_{n+1}, \ldots, u_{2 n+1}\right)$. It is clear that $r_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)=n$, and $u_{n+1}$ is the center of $P_{2 n+1}$. One may check that $D^{*}(x, y)=n$ for every pair $(x, y)$ of vertices in $S=$ $\left\{\left(u_{i}, u_{i+n}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n+1\right\}$; and $D^{*}(x, y) \neq n$ for $(x, y) \notin S$. Thus $E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)\right)=$ $\left\{u_{i} u_{i+n} ; i=1,2, \ldots, n+1\right\}$. Since $V\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)\right)=V\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)$, then $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)=$ $P_{3} \cup(n-1) K_{2}$.
(2) $P_{2 n}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right)$. It is clear that $r_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n}\right)=n$, and $u_{n+1}$ and $u_{n}$ are the only centers of $P_{2 n}$. One may see $D^{*}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=n$ for every pair $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ of vertices in $S^{\prime}=$ $\left\{\left(v_{i}, v_{i+n}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$; and $D^{*}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \neq n$ for $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \notin S^{\prime}$. Thus, $E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n}\right)\right)=$ $\left\{v_{i} v_{i+n} ; ; i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$, and so $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{2 n}\right)=n K_{2}$.
Definition 2.14 A graph $H$ is called restricted detour radial (RDR) graph if there exists a connected graph $G$ such that $R_{D^{*}}(G)=H$.
Let $F^{*}$ be the set of all RDR graphs. From the results above, every graph $G$ of order $p$ and $\triangle(G)=p-1$ belongs to $F^{*}$ and $K_{p}, K_{p_{1}} \cup K_{p_{2}}, \overline{K_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}}}, C_{2 n+1}, C_{n} \cap C^{\prime}{ }_{n}, m K_{2}, P_{3} \cup n K_{2}$, $S_{p}, W_{p}, F_{n} \in F^{*}$.
We shall find other graphs of $F^{*}$.
Problem 2.15. Let $T$ be a tree of order $p \geq 4$ and $T \neq K_{1, p-1}$, then $R_{D^{*}}(T)$ is not a tree.
Observation 2.16. Let $T$ be any tree of order $p \geq 2$, then $R_{D^{*}}(T)$ contains no isolated vertex, that is $\delta\left(R_{D^{*}}(T)\right) \geq 1$.
Proof. Let $v$ be any vertex of $T$ and $u$ be a center of $T$, and $\operatorname{rad}(T)=n$. Then, there is one $u-v$ of length $m \geq 1$. Therefore, $e(v)=n+m$ which implies that there is a vertex $v^{\prime}$ such that $d\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=n$. Thus, $v v^{\prime} \in E\left(R_{D^{*}}(T)\right)$.

Let $S_{m, n}$ be a double-star, $m, n \geq 2$, of order $p=2+m+n$ (see the Fig. 2.3)


Figure 2.3
Proposition 2.17. Let $S_{m, n}$ be a double-star, then $R_{D^{*}}\left(S_{m, n}\right)=K_{m+1} \cup K_{n+1}$, in which $V\left(K_{m+1}\right)=\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ and $V\left(K_{n+1}\right)=\left\{w_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. It is clear that $e\left(w_{1}\right)=e\left(w_{2}\right)=2$, and $\operatorname{rad}\left(S_{m, n}\right)=2$.
$\therefore d\left(w_{1}, u_{i}\right)=d\left(w_{2}, v_{j}\right)=d\left(u_{k}, u_{h}\right)=d\left(v_{r}, v_{s}\right)=2$ for $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, k \neq h \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}, r \neq s \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover, $d\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)=3$. Thus, in $R_{D^{*}}\left(S_{m, n}\right)$ every two vertices in $\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ are adjacent and every two vertices in $\left\{w_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ are adjacent. But $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ are nonadjacent, for $i=1,2, \ldots, m ; j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Hence $R_{D^{*}}\left(S_{m, n}\right)=K_{m+1} \cup K_{n+1}$.
Proposition 2.18. Let $S_{m}^{(2)}$ be a star of $m$ rays, each ray of two edges, shown in Fig. 2.4:


Figure 2.4
Then $R_{D^{*}}\left(S_{m}^{(2)}\right)=K_{m} \cup K_{1, m}$ in which $\left(K_{m}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ and $V\left(K_{1, m}\right)=$ $\left\{w, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$.
Proof. The proof follows from the fact: $e(w)=2=\operatorname{rad}\left(S_{m}^{(2)}\right), d\left(v_{i}, u_{j}\right)=2, d\left(w, u_{j}\right)=$ $2, d\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=4, d\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)=3, i \neq j, i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$.
Proposition 2.19. Let $S_{m}^{(3)}$ be a star of $m$ rays, each ray of 3 edges, then $R_{D^{*}}\left(S_{m}^{(3)}\right)=\left(K_{m, m}-\right.$ $\left.m K_{2}\right) \cup K_{1, m}$.
Proof. The proof is like that of Proposition 2.18.

## 3 On the RDR of the Sum of Two Graphs

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the connected graphs of order $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ respectively, and denote $G=G_{1}+G_{2}$. If $\triangle\left(G_{1}\right)=p_{1}-1$ or $\triangle\left(G_{2}\right)=p_{2}-1$, then $\triangle(G)=p_{1}+p_{2}-1$, and so $R_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=$ $G_{1}+G_{2}$. From now on, we assume $\triangle\left(G_{i}\right)<p_{i}-1$, for $i=1$ and 2 . Thus, it is assumed that $r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq 2, i=1,2$. One can easily check that:
Theorem 3.1 $r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=\min \left\{r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}\right), r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{2}\right)\right\}$.
Proof. Let $P$ be a restricted detour between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ in $G_{1}+G_{2}$. If $P$ contains a vertex $u$ of $G_{2}$, then $u v_{i}$ and $u v_{j}$ are chords of $P$, a contradiction. Thus there is a $u_{i}-v_{j}$ restricted detour in $G$ not containing vertices of $G_{2}$. Therefore, $D_{G}^{*}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)=D_{G_{1}}^{*}\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and $D_{G}^{*}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=D_{G_{2}}^{*}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)$. This implies that:
$e_{D^{*}}\left(v_{i}: G\right)=e_{D^{*}}\left(v_{i}: G_{1}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, p_{1}$ and $e_{D^{*}}\left(u_{j}: G\right)=e_{D^{*}}\left(u_{j}: G_{2}\right)$ for $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, p_{2}$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{D^{*}}(G) & =\min \left\{\left\{e_{D^{*}}\left(v_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, p_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{D^{*}}\left(u_{j}\right): j=1,2, \ldots, p_{2}\right\}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}\right), r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{2}\right)\right\} . \square
\end{aligned}
$$

From our assumption on $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, and from this theorem we have:
Corollary 3.2 Let $\triangle\left(G_{i}\right) \leq p_{i}-1, i=1,2$, and $r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}\right)=r_{D^{*}}\left(G_{2}\right)$ then $R_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=$ $R_{D^{*}}\left(G_{1}\right) \cup R_{D^{*}}\left(G_{2}\right)$.
Example 3.3. Let $C_{n}$ and $C^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ be a two-cycle graph of order $n \geq 4$, then $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{n}+C^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right)=$ $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{n}\right) \cup R_{D^{*}}\left(C^{\prime}{ }_{n}\right)=C_{n}^{\prime \prime} \cup C_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
Example 3.4. Consider $C_{4}$ and $C_{5}$. Then

$$
r_{D^{*}}\left(C_{4}+C_{5}\right)=\min \{2,3\}=2
$$

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{4}+C_{5}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{4}\right) \cup 5 K_{1}=2 K_{2} \cup 5 K_{1}
$$

Example 3.5. Consider $C_{5}$ and $C_{6}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5}+C_{6}\right)=\min \{3,4\}=3 . \\
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5}+C_{6}\right)=C_{4}^{\prime} \cup 3 K_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 3.6. Let $C_{n}$ and $C_{m}$ be two distinct cycle graphs, such that $n<m$, then

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{n}+C_{m}\right)= \begin{cases}C_{n}^{\prime} \cup(n-2) K_{2} & \text { if } m=2(n-2), \\ C_{n}^{\prime} \cup m K_{1}, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

## Proof. Obvious

Let $P_{n}$ and $P_{m}$ be two distinct path graphs with order $n, m \geq 4$. Then, by Theorem 3.1,

$$
r_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)=\min \left\{r_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}\right), r_{D^{*}}\left(P_{m}\right)\right\}=n \text { if } n \leq m
$$

Proposition 3.7. If $n=m \geq 4$ or $m-n+1 \geq 5$, and $m$ is odd, then

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}\right) \cup R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{m}\right)
$$

Proof. It is clear that $\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{n}\right)=\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{m}\right)$. Thus, the results follow from Corollary 3.2.
Now, we consider $P_{n}+P_{m}$ when $\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{n}\right)<\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{m}\right)$.
Theorem 3.8. Let $m>n+1$ or $m=n+1$ is even, then

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}\right) \cup r P_{k+1} \cup(d-r) P_{k},
$$

where $k=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor, r=m-k d$, and $d$ is the radius of $P_{n}$.
Proof. It is clear that $\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{n}\right)<\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{m}\right)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.1,

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}\right)=d
$$

Therefore, two vertices $x, y$ of $V\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if $x, y \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $d(x, y)=d$, or $x, y \in V\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $d(x, y)=d$. Thus, $R_{D}\left(P_{n}\right)$ is a subgraph of $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)$. Since $d \geq 2$, then no vertex of $P_{n}$ is adjacent to a vertex of $P_{m}$ in $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)$. Now, let $P_{n}=$ $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_{m}$. In $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{n}+P_{m}\right)$, denote $H$, each of the vertices $v_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, d$ is of degree one and adjacent to $v_{i+d}$. Also, each of the vertices $v_{j}, j=m-d+1, m-d+2, \ldots, m$ is of degree one and adjacent to $v_{j-d}$, in $H$. All other vertices $v_{k}$ of $P_{m}$ are of degree 2 in $H ; v_{k}$ is adjacent to $v_{k+d}$ and $v_{k-d}$. There are the only edges between vertices of $P_{m}$ in $H$. It is clear that no vertex of $P_{n}$ is adjacent to a vertex of $P_{m}$ in $H$. Thus, the proof is completed.

The following examples illustrate the proof of the theorem:
Example 3.9. Consider the path graph $P_{8}$ and $P_{23}$. It is clear that $\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(P_{8}+P_{23}\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(P_{8}\right)=$ $4=d$. Then $k=\left\lfloor\frac{23}{4}\right\rfloor=5, r=23-4(5)=3$. Thus, the edges of $\left(P_{8}+P_{23}\right)$ other than those in $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{8}\right)$ are $v_{1} v_{5}, v_{2} v_{6}, v_{3} v_{7}, v_{4} v_{8} ; v_{16} v_{20}, v_{17} v_{21}, v_{18} v_{22}, v_{19} v_{23} ; v_{5} v_{9}, v_{6} v_{10}, v_{7} v_{11}, v_{8} v_{12}, v_{9} v_{13}$, $v_{10} v_{14}, v_{11} v_{15}, v_{12} v_{16}, v_{13} v_{17}, v_{14} v_{18}, v_{15} v_{19}$. Moreover by Proposition 2.13, $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{8}\right)=4 K_{2}$. Thus $R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{8}+P_{23}\right)$ is given in Fig. 3.1.


Figure 3.1
$R_{D^{*}}\left(P_{8}+P_{23}\right)=4 K_{2} \cup P_{5} \cup 3 P_{6}$.

## 4 One-Vertex Union of Graphs

Definition 4.1. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}$ be distinctly connected graphs, and let $u_{i}$ be a vertex of $H_{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. The one-vertex union of $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}$ is the graph, denoted $G\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right.$, $\ldots, H_{m}$ )
Or simply $G$, obtained by identifying $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ to one vertex $w$. If $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}$ are $m$ copies of a graph $H$ of order $p$, we denote $G\left(H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right)=G_{p}^{(m)}(H)$.
It is clear that: for each $i=1,2, \ldots, m, D_{G}^{*}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=D_{H_{i}}^{*}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$, for each pair $v, v^{\prime}$ of $H_{i}$; and for $i \neq j$,
$D_{G}^{*}(v, u)=D_{H_{i}}^{*}(v, w)+D_{H_{i}}^{*}(w, u)$, for each $v \in V\left(H_{i}\right)$, and $u \in V\left(H_{j}\right), u, v \neq 0$. Moreover:

$$
e_{D^{*}}(w: G)=\max \left\{e_{D^{*}}\left(w: H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

in which $e_{D^{*}}(w: G)$ is the restricted detour eccentricity of vertex $w$ in the graph $G$; Similarly for $e_{D^{*}}\left(w: H_{i}\right)$.
Now, we give the following simple results:
Proposition 4.2. Let $u_{i}$ be a restricted detour center of $H_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Then

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}(G)=\max \left\{\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Proof. By definition of restricted detour center:

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(H_{i}\right)=e_{D^{*}}\left(u_{i}: H_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{D^{*}}(w: G) & =\max \left\{e_{D^{*}}\left(u_{i}: H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(H_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that the restricted detour eccentricity of every vertex of $G$ is not more than that of $w$ in $G$. Thus, $w$ is a restricted detour center of $G$, and so $r a d_{D^{*}}(G)=e_{D^{*}}(w: G)$. Hence, the proof is completed.
Corollary 4.3. Let $u$ be a restricted detour center of $H$, and $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}$ be $m$ copies of $H$. Then

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(G_{p}^{(m)}(H)\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}(H)
$$

We notice that it is difficult to find a simple formula for $R_{D^{*}}\left(G\left(H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right)\right)$ and $R_{D^{*}}\left(G_{p}^{(m)}(H)\right)$ if $H_{i}$ is any connected graph. Therefore, we try to find restricted detour radial graphs for such one-vertex union graphs in which $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}$ are special graphs.

If $H_{i}=K_{p_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, then for $m \geq 2$ :

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(G\left(K_{p_{1}}, K_{p_{2}}, \ldots, K_{p_{m}}\right)\right)=G\left(K_{p_{1}}, K_{p_{2}}, \ldots, K_{p_{m}}\right)
$$

because $\triangle(G)=p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{m}-m+1$

$$
=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(w)=p(G)-1
$$

Now, we determine $R_{D^{*}}\left(G\left(H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{m}\right)\right)$ in which $m=2$ and $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are cycle graphs. Usually, $G\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ is denoted by $H_{1} \circ H_{2}$ [2].
Let $C_{2 n+1}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 n+1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 n+1}, u_{1}\right)$ be two distinct cycle graphs of orders, $n \geq 1$.
Theorem 4.4. For $n \geq 3$

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)=\left(C_{2 n+1}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{2 n+1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \bigcup C_{4} \bigcup C_{4}^{\prime},
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2 n+1}^{\prime \prime} & =\left(w, v_{3}, v_{5}, v_{7}, \ldots, v_{2 n+1}, v_{2}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{2 n-2}, v_{2 n}, w\right) \\
C_{2 n+1}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\left(w, u_{3}, u_{5}, u_{7}, \ldots, u_{2 n+1}, u_{2}, u_{4}, \ldots, u_{2 n-2}, u_{2 n}, w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{4}=\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{2 n+1}, u_{2 n}, v_{2}\right)$ and $C_{4}^{\prime}=\left(u_{2}, v_{4}, u_{2 n+1}, v_{2 n}, u_{2}\right)$

Proof. In $C_{2 n+1} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}$ we assume that vertex $w$ is obtained by identifying vertices $v_{1}$ and $u_{1}$. By Proposition 4.2,

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)=2 n-1 .
$$

Let $x, y \in V\left(C_{2 n+1} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)$, then $D_{G}^{*}(x, y)=2 n-1$ if and only if :
(i) $x, y \in V\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)$ and $x, y \in E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)\right)$,
(ii) $x, y \in V\left(C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)$ and $x, y \in E\left(R_{D^{*}}\left(C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)\right)$, (see Proposition 2.5)
(iii) $x=v_{2}$, and $y=u_{4}$ or $u_{2 n-1}$,
(iv) $x=v_{2 n+1}$, and $y=u_{4}$ or $u_{2 n-1}$,
(v) $x=u_{2}$, and $y=v_{4}$ or $v_{2 n-1}$, or
(vi) $x=u_{2 n+1}$, and $y=v_{4}$ or $v_{2 n-1}$.

Therefore, $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1}\right) \cup R_{D^{*}}\left(C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)=\left(C_{2 n+1}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{2 n+1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ is a spanning subgraph of $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)$. Moreover, from (iii)-(vi), $C_{4} \cup C_{4}^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n+1} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{2 n+1}\right)$. Hence, the proof
The following example illustrates Theorem 4.4.
Example 4.5. For $n=2$, we have $\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{5}\right)=3$, and $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{5}\right)=\left(C_{5}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{5}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, as shown in Fig. 4.1.


Figure $4.1 \quad C_{5}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{5}^{\prime \prime \prime}$.
For $n=3$, we have $\operatorname{rad}_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{7}\right)=5$, and $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{7}\right)=\left(C_{7}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{7}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \cup C_{4} \cup C_{4}^{\prime}=$ $\left(C_{7}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{7}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{7}, u_{6}, v_{2}\right) \cup\left(u_{2}, v_{4}, u_{7}, v_{6}, u_{2}\right)$, as illustrated in Fig. 4.2.


Figure $4.2 R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{7}\right)$.
Now, we find $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{p}\right)$ for even $p$, say $p=2 n, \quad n \geq 3$. For $n=3$, we have $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{6}\right)$ given in Fig. 4.3.


Figure 4.3 $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{7}\right)$.
It is clear that $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6} \circ C^{\prime}{ }_{6}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6}\right) \circ R_{D^{*}}\left(C^{\prime}{ }_{6}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup P_{3}^{\prime}$, in which $P_{3}=\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{6}\right)$ and $P_{3}^{\prime}=\left(u_{2}, v_{4}, u_{6}\right)$.

For $n \geq 6$, we have the following proposition:
Proposition 4.6. Let $n \geq 4$, and $C_{2 n}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 n}, v_{1}\right), C_{2 n}^{\prime}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 n}, u_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{2 n} \circ C_{2 n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(C_{n}^{\prime \prime} \circ C_{n}^{*}\right) \cup C_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} \cup C_{n}^{* *} \cup C_{4} \cup C_{4}^{\prime},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(w, v_{3}, v_{5}, \ldots, v_{2 n-1}, w\right), C_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(v_{2}, v_{4}, v_{6}, \ldots, v_{2 n}, v_{2}\right) \\
C_{n}^{*}=\left(w, u_{3}, u_{5}, \ldots, u_{2 n-1}, w\right), C_{n}^{* *}=\left(u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{6}, \ldots, u_{2 n}, u_{2}\right), \\
C_{4}=\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{2 n}, u_{2 n-2}, v_{2}\right), C_{4}^{*}=\left(u_{2}, v_{4}, u_{2 n}, v_{2 n-2}, u_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.4.
Proposition 4.7. Let $C_{p}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)$ and $C_{p}^{\prime}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p-1}\right)$ be distinct cycle graphs, $p \geq 7$ and $w=u_{1}=v_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p} \circ C_{p-1}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p}\right) \cup C_{4} \cup C_{4}^{\prime} \cup S, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$C_{4}=\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{p-1}, u_{p-2}, v_{2}\right), C_{4}^{\prime}=\left(v_{3}, u_{2}, v_{p-2}, u_{p}, v_{3}\right)$, and $S=\left(v_{4}, v_{5}, \ldots, v_{p-3}\right)$ is a set of $(p-6)$ isolated vertices.
Proof. Using the procedure used in proving Theorem 4.4, we obtain (4.1).
For $p=4,5,6$ we have

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{4} \circ C_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(v_{2}, u_{2}, v_{3}, u_{4}, v_{2}\right) \cup\left(w u_{3}, u_{2} u_{4}\right)=C_{4}^{\prime \prime} \cup 2 K_{2}
$$

$R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5} \circ C_{4}^{\prime}\right)=\left(w, u_{3}, u_{5}, u_{2}, w\right) \cup\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, u_{5}\right)=C_{4}^{\prime \prime} \cup 2 K_{2}=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5}\right) \cup P_{3}$, where $P_{3}=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}, u_{5}\right)$.

$$
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6} \circ C_{5}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6}\right) \cup\left(u_{2}, v_{3}, u_{6}, v_{4}, u_{2}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{5}\right)
$$

Moreover, we illustrate Proposition 4.7 in the next example.
Example 4.8. For $p=7$, we give $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7} \circ C_{6}^{\prime}\right)$ in Fig.4.4.


Figure 4.4
Example 4.9. For $p=8$, we give $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{8} \circ C_{7}^{\prime}\right)$ in Fig.4.5.


Figure 4.5

## Proposition 4.10.

(1) For $p \geq 7, R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p} \circ C_{3}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{3}, u_{p-2}, v_{2}\right)$,
(2) For $p \geq 9, R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p} \circ C_{4}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{4}, u_{p-2}, v_{2}\right) \cup\left(u_{5}, v_{3}, u_{p-3}\right)$,
in which $\bar{C}_{p}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p}, u_{1}\right), C_{3}^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{1}\right), C_{4}^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{1}\right)$, and $w=u_{1}=v_{1}$.
In Case (1), for $p=5,6$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5} \circ C_{3}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{5}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \\
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6} \circ C_{3}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In Case (2), for $p=6,7,8$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6} \circ C_{4}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{6}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, u_{4}\right) \\
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7} \circ C_{4}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{7}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{4}, u_{6}, v_{2}\right) \cup\left\{v_{3}\right\}, \\
R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{8} \circ C_{4}^{\prime}\right)=R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{8}\right) \cup\left(v_{2}, u_{4}, v_{4}, u_{6}, v_{2}\right) \cup\left\{u_{3} u_{5}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Problem. We think it is possible to find $R_{D^{*}}\left(C_{p} \circ C_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right), p \geq p^{\prime}$ for $p^{\prime}=5$ and 6 , or $p-2$ and $p-6$.
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