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#### Abstract

In this note, we investigate the properties of the sigma-conjugate map. Also, we drive various mutual results regarding the sigma-subdifferential and sigma-conjugate. Indeed, we prove that some well-known properties regarding the Fenchel conjugate and $\epsilon$-conjugate remain valid for the sigma-conjugate.


## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this note, $E$ is a Banach space and we will denote its topological dual by $E^{*}$. The evaluation of a functional $x^{*} \in E^{*}$ at a point $x \in E^{*}$ is written as $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.

In what follows, $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is a map. The domain of $f$ will be defined by $\operatorname{dom} f=$ $\{x \in E: f(x)<+\infty\}$. We say that $f$ is proper if $\operatorname{dom} f \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, we call a map $f$ is convex if for each $x, y \in E$ and for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$

$$
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y)
$$

Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a map. Its Fenchel subdifferential at $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial f(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle y-x, x^{*}\right\rangle \leq f(y)-f(x) \quad \forall y \in E\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\partial f(x)=\emptyset$ if $x \notin \operatorname{dom} f$.
We recall that from [10], for a mapping $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ its generalized directional derivative (in the sense of Clarke-Rockafellar) at $x$ in a direction $z \in E$ is defined as follows:

$$
f^{\uparrow}(x, z)=\sup _{\delta>0} \limsup _{(y, \alpha) \xrightarrow{f} x, \lambda \searrow 0} \inf _{u \in B(z, \delta)} \frac{f(y+\lambda u)-\alpha}{\lambda}
$$

where $(y, \alpha) \xrightarrow{f} x$ means that $y \rightarrow x, \alpha \rightarrow f(x)$ and $\alpha \geq f(y)$. We recall that the subdifferential of $f$ at $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ (in the sense of Clarke-Rockafellar) is defined in the following way:

$$
\partial^{C R} f(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x^{*}, z\right\rangle \leq f^{\uparrow}(x, z) \quad \forall z \in E\right\} .
$$

As in [7] we say that a map $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is $\varepsilon$-convex if for all $a, b \in E$, and $\alpha \in] 0,1[$

$$
f(\alpha a+(1-\alpha) b) \leq \alpha f(a)+(1-\alpha) f(b)+\alpha(1-\alpha) \varepsilon\|a-b\|
$$

We also recall that:
Definition 1.1. [3]. Given a map $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and a function $\sigma$ from $E$ to $\mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\{+\infty\}$, such that $\operatorname{dom} f \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \sigma$. Then $f$ is called $\sigma$-convex if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y)+\lambda(1-\lambda) \min \{\sigma(x), \sigma(y)\}\|x-y\| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x, y \in E$, and $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
It should be noticed that from now on, to simplify the writing, we define $\bar{\sigma}(x, y):=\min \{\sigma(x), \sigma(y)\}$. Note that $\sigma$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ are different maps because their domains are different, i.e., dom $\bar{\sigma} \subset X \times X$ and $\operatorname{dom} \sigma \subset X$.

In a word,

$$
\sigma \text {-convexity } \Longrightarrow \epsilon \text {-convexity } \Longrightarrow \text { convexity. }
$$

In [8], various properties of $\varepsilon$-convex maps are presented. Some links between $\epsilon$-subdifferential and $\epsilon$-monotonicity were found in [7]. These concepts are generalized to $\sigma$-convexity and $\sigma$ monotonicity in $[1,2,3,4,6]$. In this note, we provide additional results regarding the concepts $\sigma$-convexity and $\sigma$-subdifferential.

## 2 Main results

Here, we study the concept of $\bar{\sigma}$-conjugate which is reduced to the notion of " $\epsilon$-conjugate" [9] and conjugate if we choose $\bar{\sigma}(x, y)=\epsilon$ and $\bar{\sigma}(x, y)=0$, respectively.

Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a $\sigma$-convex map and $y \in E$ be fixed. Then the function $f_{y}^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)=\sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x)-\bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\}, \quad \forall x^{*} \in E^{*} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is named the $\bar{\sigma}$-conjugate of $f$, where $\bar{\sigma}(x, y)=\min \{\sigma(x), \sigma(y)\}$. In the whole of this section, we will use this notation regarding $\bar{\sigma}$.

Note that when $\bar{\sigma}(x, y) \equiv 0$ then $f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)$ reduces to $f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)$ and if $\bar{\sigma}(x, y)=\epsilon,(\epsilon \in$ $] 0,+\infty[$ ) then $\bar{\sigma}$-conjugacy coincides with $\epsilon$-conjugacy [9].

As for the convex case, the map $f_{y}^{* *}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$ is defined as follows:

$$
f_{y}^{* *}(\bar{\sigma}, x)=\sup _{x^{*} \in E^{*}}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)\right\}, \quad \forall x \in E
$$

and it is called the $\bar{\sigma}$-biconjugate of $f$.
From (2.1), we obtain a generalized Fenchel inequality as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)+f(x)+\bar{\sigma}(x)\|x-y\| \geq\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle, \quad \forall x \in E, \forall x^{*} \in E^{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next proposition represents some properties regarding $\bar{\sigma}$-conjugate maps.
Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $f, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ are $\sigma$-convex maps. Then we have
(i) $f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) \leq f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)$, and therefore if $f^{*}(\cdot)$ is proper, then $f_{y}^{*}(\bar{\sigma}, \cdot)$ is too;
(ii) $g_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) \leq f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)$ whenever $f \leq g$;
(iii) $f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}^{\prime}, x^{*}\right) \leq f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)$ when $\bar{\sigma} \leq \bar{\sigma}^{\prime}$;
(iv) If $k(x):=f(x)+M$ for some real $M$, then $k_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)=f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)-M$;
(v) When $M$ is a positive real number and $k(x):=M f(x)$, then $h_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)=M f_{y}^{*}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{M}, \frac{x^{*}}{M}\right)$;
(vi) Assume that $M$ is a positive real number and $\sigma$ is positively homogeneous of degree $l$. If $k(x):=f(M x)$, then $k_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)=f_{M^{l} y}^{*}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{M^{2 l}}, \frac{x^{*}}{M^{l}}\right)$;
(vii) Assume that $\operatorname{dom} \sigma=E$ and $\sigma$ is bounded from above. Then $f_{y}^{*}$ is Lipschitz with respect to $y$ with a Lipschitz rank $l=\sup _{x \in E} \sigma(x)$;
(viii)The $\sigma$-conjugation map is convex, that is for each $\alpha \in] 0,1[$ we have

$$
(\alpha f+(1-\alpha) g)_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) \leq \alpha f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)+(1-\alpha) g_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)
$$

Proof. We note that the proofs of parts (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) are easy consequences of the definition.

For part (v), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) & =\sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-M f(x)-\bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\} \\
& =M \sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle\frac{x^{*}}{M}, x\right\rangle-f(x)-\frac{1}{M} \bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\}=M f_{y}^{*}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{M}, \frac{x^{*}}{M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the part (vi), by assumptions $M>0$, and $\sigma$ is positively homogeneous of degree $l$, then $\bar{\sigma}$ is positively homogeneous of rank $l$ with respect to $(x, y)$. Now by setting $z=M x, u=y$, we
infer the desired formula. For the proof of part (vii), note that $\sigma$ is bounded above. Thus $\bar{\sigma}$ has the same bound. So for $y_{1}, y_{2} \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{y_{1}}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) & =\sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x)-\bar{\sigma}\left(x, y_{1}\right)\left\|x-y_{1}\right\|\right\} \\
& \leq \sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x)-\bar{\sigma}\left(x_{1}\right)\left\|x-y_{2}\right\|+\bar{\sigma}\left(x, y_{2}\right)\left\|y_{2}-y_{1}\right\|\right\} \\
& \leq \sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x)-\bar{\sigma}\left(x, y_{2}\right)\left\|x-y_{2}\right\|\right\}+\sup _{x \in E} \sigma(x)\left\|y_{2}-y_{1}\right\| \\
& =f_{y_{2}}^{*}\left(\sigma, x^{*}\right)+l\left\|y_{2}-y_{1}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

If in the above inequality we change $x$ to $y$, the desired statement will be obtained.
To show part (viii), let $\alpha \in[0,1]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha f+(1-\alpha) g)_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) & =\sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-(\alpha f+(1-\alpha) g)(x)-\bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\} \\
& \leq \alpha \sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x)-\bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\} \\
& +(1-\alpha) \sup _{x \in E}\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-g(x)-\bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\} \\
& =\alpha f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)+(1-\alpha) g_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
In the next results, we generalize some results from [9].
Proposition 2.2. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be $\sigma$-convex. Then for each $y^{*} \in \partial f(y)$ we have $\partial^{\sigma} f(x) \subset \Omega$, where

$$
\Omega:=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x^{*}-y^{*}, y-x\right\rangle \leq \bar{\sigma}(x, y)\|x-y\|\right\}
$$

Proof. By assumptions $x^{*} \in \partial^{\sigma} f(x)$ and $y^{*} \in \partial f(y)$. Therefore by using the related definitions, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle x^{*}, x-y\right\rangle \geq f(x)-f(y)+\bar{\sigma}(y, x)\|x-y\| \\
& \left\langle y^{*}, y-x\right\rangle \geq f(y)-f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if we add the above inequalities, then we infer $x^{*} \in \Omega$ i.e., $\partial^{\sigma} f(x) \subset \Omega$.
Proposition 2.3. Let $l=\sup _{z \in E} \bar{\sigma}(z, y)$ and $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a lsc and $\sigma$-convex map. Then for each $y \in E$, the maps $f_{y}^{*}$ and $f_{y}^{* *}$ are proper.
Proof. Since $f$ is proper and lsc map, therefore, according to [5] there is $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ such that $\partial f^{C R}(x) \neq \emptyset$. By [2, Proposition 9], $\partial^{C R} f(x) \subset \partial^{\sigma} f(x)$, thus $\partial^{\sigma} f(x) \neq \emptyset$. So, we assume that $x^{*} \in \partial^{\sigma} f(x)$. Thus, for every $u \in E$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x^{*}, u-x\right\rangle & \leq f(u)-f(x)+\bar{\sigma}(u, x)\|x-u\| \\
& \leq f(u)-f(x)+\bar{\sigma}(u, y)\|x-y\|+\bar{\sigma}(u, y)\|u-y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above inequalities, we conclude that $\left\langle x^{*}, u\right\rangle-f(u)-\bar{\sigma}(u, y)\|u-y\| \leq \bar{\sigma}(u, y) \| x-$ $y \|+\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x)$. Now get the supremum over $u \in E$. This implies that

$$
f_{y}^{*}\left(\sigma, x^{*}\right) \leq l\|x-y\|+\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x) .
$$

Since $l=\sup _{z \in E} \bar{\sigma}(z, y)$, consequently $f_{y}^{*}$ is proper. Thus at least for one $x^{*} \in E$, we have $f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the definition of $f_{y}^{* *}$ we obtain $f_{y}^{* *}(\bar{\sigma}, x)>-\infty$ for every $x \in E$. Applying Proposition 2.1(ix) implies that $f_{y}^{* *}$ is proper too.

We call $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ strongly coercive, if $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{f(x)}{\|x\|}\right)=+\infty$.
Proposition 2.4. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be minorized by an affine map and be a $\sigma$-convex and strongly coercive map. Then $\operatorname{dom} f_{y}^{*}(\bar{\sigma}, \cdot)=E^{*}$ and also $f$ is bounded on every bounded set.
Proof. By using [10, Lemma 3.6.1] we get that $f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)<+\infty$ and also, it is bounded on any bounded set. Thus by Proposition 2.1(i) we obtain $f_{y}^{*}\left(\bar{\sigma}, x^{*}\right)<+\infty$. The proof is completed.
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