

# $\mathcal{A}$ -Expansion $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous in Hereditary generalized topological spaces

K. Baby and M. Vigneshwaran

Communicated by L. Vidya Rani

MSC 2020 Classifications: 54A05,54C05,54C08,54C10

Keywords and phrases: Hereditary class,  $\mathcal{A}$  – Expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous, subadditive.

**Abstract** In this paper, the concept of  $\mathcal{A}$ -Expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity is introduced. Also some properties and characterization of  $\mathcal{A}$ -Expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity are discussed briefly.

## 1 Introduction

The idea of generalized topology and hereditary class was introduced and studied by Csaszar[3, 4]. A subfamily  $\mu$  of  $P(X)$  is called a generalized topology if  $\Phi \in \mu$  and union of elements of  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mu$ . And we say a hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $X$  is a non-empty collection of subset of  $X$  such that  $A \subset B, B \in \mathcal{H}$  implies  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ . With respect to the generalised topology  $\mu$  and a hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$ , for a subset  $A$  of  $X$  we define  $A_\mu^*(\mathcal{H})$  [4] as  $A_\mu^*(\mathcal{H}) = \{x \in X : M \cap A \notin \mathcal{H} \text{ for every } M \in \mu \text{ such that } x \in M\}$ . The closure  $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A_\mu^*$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be  $\mu$ -codense[4] if  $\mu \cap \mathcal{H} = \{\phi\}$ . With respect to  $\mu$  we define  $\mu^* = \{A \subset X : c_{\mu^*}(X - A) = X - A\}$ .  $i_{\mu^*}(A)$  and  $c_{\mu^*}(A)$  will denote the interior and closure of  $A$  in  $(X, \mu^*)$ . The space  $(X, \mu)$  with the hereditary class is called hereditary generalized topological space and denoted by  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ . A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu\alpha$ -open [3] (resp.  $\mu$ -semi open [3],  $\mu$ -pre open [[3]],  $\mu$ - $\beta$ -open[3]), if  $A \subseteq i_\mu(c_\mu(i_\mu(A)))$  (resp.  $A \subseteq (c_\mu(i_\mu(A))), A \subseteq i_\mu(c_\mu(A)), A \subseteq c_\mu(i_\mu(c_\mu(A)))$ ). We denote the family of all  $\mu\alpha$ -open sets,  $\mu$ -semiopen sets,  $\mu$ -pre open sets and  $\mu\beta$ -open sets by  $\alpha(\mu)$ ,  $\sigma(\mu)$ ,  $\pi(\mu)$  and  $\beta(\mu)$  respectively. On GT,  $\mu \subseteq \alpha(\mu) \subseteq \pi(\mu) \subseteq \beta(\mu)$ . In GTS  $c_{\mu\alpha}(A)$  and  $c_{\mu\beta}(A)$  denotes  $\alpha$ -closure and  $\beta$ -closure respectively.

A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$  regular open[5] if  $A = i_\mu(c_\mu(A))$ . The finite union of regular open set is called  $\mu\pi$ -open sets and its complement is  $\mu\pi$ -closed set. A set  $A$  is said to be  $\mu g$ -closed [3] if  $c_\mu(A) \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\mu$ -open and its complement is  $\mu g$ -open.

**Definition 1.1.** For a subset  $A$  of hereditary generalized topological space  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ ,

- i)  $A_{\mu\alpha}^*(\mathcal{H})$  [4] =  $\{x \in X : M \cap A \notin \mathcal{H} \text{ for every } M \in \alpha(\mu) \text{ such that } x \in M\}$ .
- ii)  $A_{\mu\beta}^*(\mathcal{H})$  [4] =  $\{x \in X : M \cap A \notin \mathcal{H} \text{ for every } M \in \beta(\mu) \text{ such that } x \in M\}$ .

## 2 $\mathcal{A}$ – Expansion $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be

- i)  $g\alpha\mu$ -closed if  $c_{\mu\alpha}(A) \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\mu\alpha$ -open and its complement is  $g\alpha\mu$ -open.
- ii)  $*g\alpha\mu$ -closed if  $c_\mu(A) \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\mu g\alpha$ -open and its complement is  $*g\alpha\mu$ -open.
- iii)  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$ -closed if  $c_{\mu\beta}(A) \subseteq U$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $*g\alpha\mu$ -open and its complement is  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$ -open.

**Definition 2.2.** [1] Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalised topological space. A map  $\mathcal{A}: \mu \longrightarrow 2^X$  is said to be an expansion on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  if  $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}(U)$  for each  $U \in \mu$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [1] Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalised topological space. A pair of expansions  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be mutually dual if  $\mathcal{A}(U) \cap \mathcal{B}(U) = U$  for each  $U \in \mu$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [1] Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space. Let  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $Y$ . A map  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous if  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A map  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$  is said to be  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous iff  $U \in \lambda$  implies that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space. Let  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $Y$ . A map  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Then a map  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Necessity: Given that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B})$ . So  $\mathcal{A}(V) \cap \mathcal{B}(V) = V$  for each  $V \in \lambda$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)) \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . Since  $f$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V))$ . So,  $f^{-1}(V) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))) \cap \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  for every  $V \in \lambda$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Sufficiency: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  and  $f$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous. Then we have  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  for every  $V \in \lambda$ . Also we have  $\mathcal{A}(V) \cap \mathcal{B}(V) = V$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)) \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = f^{-1}(V)$ . Hence  $\beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))) \cap \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))) \supseteq f^{-1}(V) \cap f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V)$ . So  $\beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \supseteq f^{-1}(V)$ . But  $\beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(V) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V))$ . This implies that  $f^{-1}(V) \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Therefore  $f$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all expansion on generalised topological space  $(X, \mu)$ , a partial order " $<$ " can be defined by a relation  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(V) < \mathcal{B}(V)$  for all  $V \in \mu$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalised topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space.  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Let  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous. Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous for any expansion  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$

**Proof.** Given that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous and  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$ . Then for each  $V \in \lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(V) < \mathcal{B}(V)$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$ . Therefore,  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous for any expansion  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$   $\square$

**Definition 2.9.** [1] Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . A map  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be closed  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous if  $f^{-1}((\mathcal{A}(V))^c)$  is  $\mu$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . A map  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be closed  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}((\mathcal{A}(V))^c)$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Definition 2.11.** [1] An expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be open if  $\mathcal{A}(V) \in \mu$  for all  $V \in \mu$ .

**Definition 2.12.** [1] An expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be idempotent if  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}(V)) = \mathcal{A}(V)$  for all  $V \in \mu$

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $f:(X,\mu)\longrightarrow (Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\lambda$  codense and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an open and idempotent on  $(Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ - expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu,\lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is closed  $\mathcal{A}$ - expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu,\lambda)$ -continuous

**Proof.** Necessary part: Let  $f$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ - expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu,\lambda)$ -continuous, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is idempotent and  $V \in \lambda$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is open and idempotent,  $\mathcal{A}(V) \in \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}(V)) = \mathcal{A}(V)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}(V)))) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$

**Sufficient part:** We prove  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))$ . Let  $x \in (f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c$ . Then  $x \notin f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c$  and  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{A}(V))^c$ . Hence  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))$ . So,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))$ . Conversely, let  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))$ . Then  $f(x) \in \mathcal{A}(V)$  and so  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{A}(V))^c$  which implies  $x \notin f^{-1}((\mathcal{A}(V))^c)$  and  $x \in (f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c$ . So,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))$ . Therefore,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))$ . Since  $f$  is closed  $\mathcal{A}$ - expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu,\lambda)$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$ -closed in  $(X,\mu)$ . This implies  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V))^c)^c \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)) \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$  and so  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$ . Also  $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}(V)$ , and this implies  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}(V)))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ - expansion  $\beta^*g\alpha(\mu,\lambda)$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on a hereditary generalized topological space  $(X,\mu,\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be expansions on  $(X,\mu)$  and  $(Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  respectively. We say that the map  $f:(X, \mu) \longrightarrow (Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$  weakly-continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  for every  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on hereditary generalized topological space  $(X,\mu,\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be expansions on  $(X,\mu)$  and  $(Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  respectively. We say that the map  $f:(X, \mu) \longrightarrow (Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  for every  $V \in \lambda$ .

The expansion  $\mathcal{A}((V)) = V$  is called the identity expansion and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{Id}$ -expansion.

**Definition 2.16.** Let  $(X,\mu,\mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. An expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X,\mu,\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *subadditive* if for every collection of  $\mu$ - open set  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(U_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \subseteq U_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{A}(U_\alpha)$ .

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $(X,\mu,\mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalised topological space. An expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X,\mu,\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -subadditive if for every collection of  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$ - open set  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(U_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \subseteq U_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{A}(U_\alpha)$

**Theorem 2.18.** Let  $(X,\mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(X,\mu)$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  are two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  then a map  $f : (X,\mu)\longrightarrow (Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{Id})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is both  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous and  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}^*)$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous

**Proof.** Suppose  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Id})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous. Then for every  $V \in \lambda$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))) \cap \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^*(V)))$ . This implies that  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous and  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}^*)$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous. Conversely, suppose  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous and  $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}^*)$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous. Then for every  $V \in \lambda$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^*(V)))$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))) \cap \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^*(V))) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) \cap \mathcal{B}^*(V))$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y,\lambda,\mathcal{H})$ , we get  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(V))$ , which implies that  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Id})$  weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Definition 2.19.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(X, \mu,\mathcal{H})$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -open if for each  $x \in A$  there exist  $U \in \mu(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(U) \subseteq A$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ -closed if  $X - A$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -open.

**Definition 2.20.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$   $\mathcal{A}$ -open if for each  $x \in A$  there exist  $U \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(U) \subseteq A$  and  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$   $\mathcal{A}$ -closed if  $X - A$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$   $\mathcal{A}$ -open.

**Theorem 2.21.** Let  $f:(X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\lambda$ -codense and  $\mathcal{B}$  be an open and idempotent expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is subadditive and monotone expansion on  $(X, \mu)$ . Then  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous if and only if closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Necessary part: Let  $f$  be  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous, where  $\mathcal{B}$  is open and idempotent, then  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is open and idempotent,  $\mathcal{B}(V) \in \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \mathcal{B}(V)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(V)))) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$  and hence  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))^c = f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}(V))^c)$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$   $\mathcal{A}$ -closed. Therefore  $f$  is closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous.

**Sufficient part:** Let  $f:(X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous and let  $V \in \lambda$ . We prove  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . Let  $x \in (f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c$ . Then  $x \notin f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c$  and  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{B}(V))^c$ . Hence  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . So,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . Conversely, let  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . Then  $f(x) \in \mathcal{B}(V)$  and so  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{B}(V))^c$  which implies  $x \notin f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}(V))^c)$  and  $x \in (f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c$ . So,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . Therefore,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))$ . Since  $f$  is closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c$  is  $\beta^*g\alpha\mu$   $\mathcal{A}$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$ . This implies  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V))^c)^c \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) \in \beta^*g\alpha\mu$  and so  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$ . Also  $V \subseteq \mathcal{B}(V)$ , and this implies  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq \beta^*g\alpha i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(V)))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Hence  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly  $\beta^*g\alpha$ -continuous.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Ahmad Al-Omari, M. Rajamani and R. Ramesh,  $\mathcal{A}$ -Expansion continuous maps and  $(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B})$ -Weakly continuous maps in Hereditary generalized topological spaces, *Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacau Faculty of Sciences Scientific Studies and Research Series Mathematics and Informatics*, **23(2)**, (2013), 13-22.
- [2] Bishwambhar Roy, On a type of generalised open sets, *Applied general topology, Universidad Politecnica de Valencia*, **12(2)**, (2011), 163-173.
- [3] A.Csaszar, generalized open sets in generalized topologies, *Acta Mathematica Hungaria*, **106**, (2005), 53-66.
- [4] A.Csaszar, Modifications in Generalized topologies via hereditary, *Acta Mathematica Hungaria* **115**, (2007), 29-36.
- [5] R.Jamunarani and P.Jeyanthi, Regular sets in generalized topological spaces, *Acta Mathematica Hungaria*, **135**, (2012), 342-349.
- [6] K.Karuppai\*, A note on RH-open sets in GTS with hereditary classes., *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, **5(1)**, (2014), 312-316.
- [7] M.Rajamani, V.Inthumathi and R.Ramesh, A decomposition of  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity in generalized topological spaces, *Jordan J.Math.Stat.* **6(1)**(2013), 15-27.
- [8] J.Tong, Expansion of open sets and decomposition of continuous mappings, *Rend. Circ.Mat.Polermo*, **43(2)**, (1994), 303-308.

## Author information

K. Baby and M. Vigneshwaran, Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Coimbatore - 641029, India.

E-mail: babyk\_ma@kongunaducollege.ac.in

Received : December 30, 2020

Accepted : March 25, 2021